**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**Summons for Judgment No. 1099 OF** 2003
IN
SUIT NO. 3851 OF 2001

G. V. Shah . .... Plaintiff
vs.

M/s. Tulsidas Valji & Co., ... Defendants

K. P. Jain i/b. P.V. Shah for Plaintiff
M.K. Jain for defendant no.4.
Partner of defendant no.1 present.
defendant nos. 2 and 3 present in person.

**CORAM: S. U. KAMDAR J.**

Date: 28/9/2005

P.C.

Defendant no.3, who is appearing in person states that he wants to represent the firm also and undertakes to file vakalatnama. He also undertakes to file vakalatnama on behalf of defendant no.1 as partner thereof. Vakalatnama to be fled within a period of 3 days from today. If vakalatnama is not filed Plaintiff will be entitled to apply for decree against defendant no.1. Learned advocate for the defendant no.4 has not complied with the condition for leave to defend in the suit as directed by order dated 16th June 2005. In that view of the matter there

will be a decree as prayed against defendant no.4 for non compliance with the conditions of leave to defend.

(S. U. KAMDAR J.)

-x-